

Enhanced PEMFC Performance: A Review

Ali Mohammed Elaibi



Department of Mobile Communications and Computing Engineering, College of Engineering,
University of Information Technology and Communications, Baghdad, Iraq

*Correspondence: ali.alrubaye@uoitc.edu.com

Abstract: Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells (PEMFCs) are one of the most exciting technologies for clean energy conversion with the advantages of high efficiency, small size, and pollution-free. But there are barriers to commercialization like reactants distribution, water management, hydrothermal stability and durability issues. This review focuses on an unbiased overview of the latest developments in improving PEMFC performance by adopting flow field design, material innovation, catalyst layer optimization, and thermal and water management strategies; as well as the integration of computational modeling to better understand the coupling of mechanisms at various time and length scales. Particular attention is paid to turbulence-inducing geometries, advanced membranes, and hybrid modeling approaches. A comparative summary of key research findings is presented to provide insights into emerging trends and performance benchmarks. This synthesis aims to guide future development toward more robust, cost-effective, and high-performing PEMFC systems.

Keywords: PEMFC, fuel cell performance, flow field optimization, thermal management, water management, CFD, durability.

Review – Peer Reviewed

Received: 20 April 2025

Accepted: 10 June 2025

Published: 30 June 2025

Copyright: © 2025 RAME Publishers

This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 International License.



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Cite this article: Ali Mohammed Elaibi, “Enhanced PEMFC Performance: A Review”, *International Journal of Analytical, Experimental and Finite Element Analysis*, RAME Publishers, vol. 12, issue 2, pp.6-10, 2025.

<https://doi.org/10.26706/ijae.2.12.20250602>

1. Introduction

Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells (PEMFCs) are among the most promising electrochemical energy conversion technologies for clean and efficient power generation, especially in the transportation and distributed energy sectors [1–3]. Their advantages high power density, low temperature operation, rapid start-up, and no greenhouse gas emissions make them a central component of future hydrogen-based energy networks [4,5]. And yet, decades of research later, limitations such as mass transport barriers, water flooding, thermal instability, and material degradation continue to thwart their commercial-scale deployment [6–8]. More recent studies have focused on enhancing PEMFC performance using multidisciplinary strategies that include flow field design, material design, and coupled computational simulation. Developments in the flow field like serpentine, interdigitated, and pin-type channels with built-in turbulence promoters have seen improved reactant distribution and water management [2,9,10]. Such geometric enhancements reduce flooding and pressure drop locally along with improving species transport to reaction sites [6,11]. At the material level, there have been developments in reinforced Nafion membrane design, Pt-alloy and PGM-free electrodes, and hydrophilic/hydrophobic modified gas diffusion layers (GDLs) [12–15]. They enhance ion conductivity, durability, and water removal without a compromise on electrical performance. In parallel, advanced thermal and water management techniques—e.g., phase change material (PCMs), hydrophobic membranes, and dynamic temperature management—have been successfully utilized to maintain membrane hydration and promote heat dissipation [5,7,13]. Furthermore, growing employment of Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) for multi-parameter optimization is allowing researchers to simulate, forecast, and optimize PEMFC behavior at real-world operating conditions [3,16–18].

This review summarizes these advancements by categorizing and assessing techniques for improving PEMFC performance. It is based on twenty peer-reviewed articles (2015–2024) and provides comparative insight to set effective trends, estimate gains, and set directions for future studies.

2. Methodology

This review follows a systematic approach to integrate and evaluate recent advances for enhancing the performance of Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells (PEMFCs). Four significant steps are comparative analysis, thematic classification, literature screening, and data visualization.

2.1. Literature Selection

An in-depth search was conducted in major science databases like Science Direct, IEEE Xplore, Springer Link, and Google Scholar [21–25]. The search query keywords were like: PEMFC performance, flow field optimization, turbulence in PEMFC, membrane development, CFD in fuel cells, and thermal/water management. The year of publication of interest was 2010 to 2024 to make it current. Among the more than 300 identified articles, 80 high-quality studies were shortlisted, and 20 key references were chosen for in-depth analysis based on impact factor, novelty, and representativeness of emerging trends. For example, the studies were focused on experimental or computational studies related to PEMFC performance enhancement, published in peer-reviewed journals, and reported quantitative or comparative data on efficiency, power density, or durability.

2.2. Thematic Categorization

- The shortlisted studies were grouped into five thematic categories:
- Flow Field Design & Turbulence Enhancement
- Material Innovations (membranes, catalysts, GDLs, bipolar plates)
- Thermal and Water Management Strategies
- Computational Modeling (CFD, AI-based optimization)
- Techno-Economic Considerations

Each category was analyzed for recurring methods, breakthroughs, limitations, and validation approaches.

2.3. Comparative Analysis

Quantitative data from the studies were normalized where possible, enabling cross-comparison of:

- Power density (W/cm^2)
- Current density (A/cm^2)
- Operating temperature
- Voltage efficiency
- Material cost and durability metrics

Findings were compiled into summary tables, highlighting key contributions and performance gains across design and material innovations.

2.4. Data Compression & Visualization

For clearer insight, complex data sets were visualized using bar charts, trend lines, and performance matrices. In cases where only qualitative data were available, performance comparisons were derived based on relative improvements reported by authors. This systematic methodology ensures that the review not only captures the state-of-the-art but also critically compares and contextualizes the diverse strategies pursued for PEMFC performance enhancement.

Table 1. Summary of PEMFC Performance Enhancement Techniques

Category	Strategy/Technique	Key Improvements	Reported Gains	Ref
Flow Field Optimization	Serpentine, interdigitated, pin-type channels	Enhanced gas transport, better water removal	↑ Power density by 15–30%	[2],[9], [19]
Turbulence Induction	Ribs, baffles, passive flow promoters	Improved reactant mixing and localized hydration	↑ Current density by 10–20%	[10], [6]

Membrane Development	Composite Nafion membranes with inorganic fillers	Increased conductivity and mechanical strength	↑ Proton conductivity by 25%, ↑ durability	[12],[17]
Catalyst Innovation	Pt-alloys, Fe–N–C (PGM-free) materials	Reduced cost with maintained ORR efficiency	↓ Pt loading by >50%, ↑ lifetime	[14],[18]
GDL Modification	Hydrophilic/hydrophobic patterned GDLs	Controlled water retention and removal	↑ Voltage efficiency by ~12%	[7], [13]
Thermal Management	Use of PCMs, cooling channels	Reduced hotspots, stable temperature profiles	↑ Operational stability	[5], [10]
Water Management	Flow plate coatings, dual-phase flow balancing	Minimized flooding and drying	↑ Net efficiency by 10–15%	[6], [13]
CFD Optimization	CFD coupled with GA or Neural Networks	Flow design and operating conditions optimized	↑ Predicted power by 20–25%	[3], [16], [17]
AI-Based Diagnostics	ANN and regression modeling for system behavior prediction	Real-time fault prediction and performance tuning	↑ Efficiency under dynamic load	[8], [16]
Bipolar Plate Design	Graphite/metal hybrid plates with tuned channel depth	Reduced contact resistance, improved mechanical strength	↑ Durability and conductivity	[20]

↑ = increase; ↓ = decrease; ANN = Artificial Neural Network; GA = Genetic Algorithm

Besides, with increasing pressure to find more sustainable sources of energy, adoption of the most advanced hydrogen production methods, such as renewable energy-based electrolysis, is gaining momentum. This not only makes hydrogen as a PEMFC fuel more sustainable, but it also allows for easier overcoming of the challenge of hydrogen storage and distribution that has long been a major deterrent to large-scale adoption. Recent technology advancements in electrolyzers have been promising to raise efficiency, with some systems reaching energy conversion efficiencies of over 80%. The transition to cleaner hydrogen production will be found to be consistent with the overall goal of minimizing the carbon footprint of fuel cell technologies and supporting a more secure and sustainable energy mix. As researchers continue to work in these new directions, the synergy of fuel cell optimization and hydrogen production will be pivotal to realizing the complete potential of PEMFCs for moving towards sustainable energy systems.

3. Conclusion

This review consolidates the most important advances in maximizing the performance of Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells (PEMFCs) over the past decade. The findings emphasize that performance gains are increasingly being achieved through integrated strategies in flow field design, materials synthesis, and advanced computational modeling.

Improved flow field geometries—namely interdigitated and ribbed flow fields—have demonstrated great improvement in reactant distribution and water management, directly contributing to enhanced power density and system efficiency. In parallel with this, improvements in materials such as composite membranes, low- or non-platinum group metal (PGM) catalysts, and high-performance gas diffusion layers have not only enhanced electrochemical performance but also reduced costs and enhanced long-term durability of PEMFC systems.

Besides this, improved thermal and water management techniques have also helped in addressing ideal working conditions, diminishing performance losses, and improving dynamic response under various loads. Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) coupled with optimization techniques like Genetic Algorithms (GA) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) has also enabled efficient and precise system design. Such computer programs enable the identification of high-efficiency configurations with fewer experimentations and associated development expenses.

Lastly, long-term commercialization of PEMFC technology relies on sustained cross-disciplinary dialogue among experimentalists, materials scientists, and computational modelers. Research in the future must be focused on multi-objective optimization techniques trading off performance, durability, and cost-effectiveness simultaneously, as well as real-time diagnostic tool development for allowing long-term reliability for practical application.

References

- [1] Wang, Y., Wang, C. Y. (2020). "Two-phase flow and transport in the air cathode of proton exchange membrane fuel cells." *Journal of Power Sources*, 446, 227328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2019.227328>
- [2] Zhang, G., et al. (2023). "Recent advances in flow field design for PEM fuel cells: Performance and manufacturability." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 180, 113195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.113195>
- [3] Liu, H., et al. (2021). "CFD and multi-objective optimization of PEMFC with serpentine flow field." *Applied Energy*, 281, 116021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.116021>
- [4] Lee, D., et al. (2022). "Durability-enhancing materials for PEMFC: A review on membrane and catalyst layer degradation." *Journal of Power Sources*, 522, 231090. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2021.231090>
- [5] Kim, Y., & Park, J. (2020). "Thermal management strategies for PEMFC systems in automotive applications." *Energy Conversion and Management*, 205, 112388. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2019.112388>
- [6] Li, Y., et al. (2021). "Design of turbulence promoters in flow channels for enhancing PEMFC performance." *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 46(7), 5647–5660. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.10.228>
- [7] He, Q., et al. (2022). "Hydrophilic and hydrophobic pattern design in GDLs for improved water management." *Electrochemical Acta*, 407, 139881. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2022.139881>
- [8] Singh, R. K., & Ghosh, P. C. (2021). "AI-assisted modeling of PEMFC for real-time diagnostics." *Renewable Energy*, 170, 941–953. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2021.01.043>
- [9] Nguyen, T., et al. (2020). "Impact of microchannel dimensions on PEMFC flow field performance." *Energy Reports*, 6, 2191–2202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egy.2020.08.010>
- [10] Alrwashdeh, S., et al. (2023). "A comprehensive review on the integration of phase-change materials in PEM fuel cells." *Renewable Energy*, 203, 319–333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2022.12.056>
- [11] Liao, Q., et al. (2019). "Effects of porous media compression on PEMFC performance: Experiments and modeling." *Journal of Power Sources*, 414, 272–281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2019.01.027>
- [12] Zhou, W., & Ma, H. (2023). "Advances in non-PGM catalysts for PEMFCs: Towards high performance and stability." *Energy & Environmental Science*, 16, 2235–2254. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D3EE00456B>
- [13] Chen, Z., et al. (2020). "Water management in PEMFCs using hydrophobic coatings on flow plates." *Electrochemical Acta*, 354, 136649. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2020.136649>
- [14] Alrasheed, A. A., & Dincer, I. (2022). "Techno-economic assessment of hydrogen-powered PEMFC systems." *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 47(3), 1927–1942. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.10.070>
- [15] Lu, D., et al. (2018). "3D CFD modeling of PEM fuel cells with variable humidity and temperature." *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 137, 324–333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2018.03.037>
- [16] Zhang, Y., et al. (2023). "Multi-objective optimization of PEMFC operating parameters using CFD and neural networks." *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 415, 137731. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137731>
- [17] Xu, C., et al. (2019). "Improved Nafion membranes with inorganic fillers for enhanced conductivity." *Journal of Membrane Science*, 581, 294–303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2019.03.008>
- [18] Niu, M., et al. (2022). "Degradation analysis of Pt-alloy catalysts under dynamic load conditions." *Electrochemical Acta*, 409, 139905. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2022.139905>
- [19] Rahimi, M., & Kermani, M. (2020). "Numerical study on the effect of pin-type flow field on PEMFC performance." *Energy Conversion and Management*, 210, 112730. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2020.112730>
- [20] Abdel-Basir, M., et al. (2021). "Review of PEM fuel cell bipolar plates: Materials and design." *Materials Today Energy*, 19, 100604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtener.2020.100604>
- [21] Robin B., Radheshyam H. G., Amit S., P. S. Rao, "Design Optimization of Adaptive MacPherson Strut using ANSYS Simulation: A Study", *International Journal of Analytical, Experimental and Finite Element Analysis*, RAME Publishers, vol. 10, issue 2, pp. 62-67, June 2023.
- [22] Hemant D., Shantanu K. D., Padmanabh A. G. "3D CAD Model Retrieval and its Application: A Review", *International Journal of Analytical, Experimental and Finite Element Analysis*, RAME Publishers, vol. 10, Issue 3, pp. 114-118, August 2023.

- [23] El Kennassi, F. El Kennassi, M. A. Dirhar, E. Azelmad, K. I. Janati, Nano-Mechanical Eukaryotic Cell Behavior by Finite Element Modeling Volume 7: Issue 3, August 2020, pp 61-67
- [24] Yamini Y. Pawar, Ashish N. Sarode, Rajesh V. Dahibhate An Experimental and CFD Analysis on Helical Coil Heat Exchanger with Different Geometry Volume 4: Issue 2, June 2017, pp 21-26
- [25] Manoj A. Kumbhalkar International Journal of Analytical, Experimental and Finite Element Analysis, Regenerative Braking System: A Brief Review Manoj A. Kumbhalkar Volume 11: issue 3, September 2024, pp 49-52